# Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed Intermolecular Amidation with Azides via C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H Functionalization

Nuancheng Wang,<sup>†</sup> Renhe Li,<sup>†</sup> Liubo Li,<sup>†</sup> Shansheng Xu,<sup>†</sup> Haibin Song,<sup>†</sup> and Baiquan Wang\*,<sup>†,‡</sup>

† State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and E[ngi](#page-5-0)neering (Tianjin), College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

‡ State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [The amidatio](#page-5-0)n reactions of 8-methylquinolines with azides catalyzed by a cationic rhodium(III) complex proceed efficiently to give quinolin-8-ylmethanamine derivatives in good yields via C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H bond activation under external oxidant-free conditions. A catalytically competent five-mem-



bered rhodacycle has been isolated and characterized, revealing a key intermediate in the catalytic cycle.

T itrogen is a key component of many natural products and drug molecules. It has been estimated that among all natural products, the average number of nitrogen atoms per molecule is 0.7, while for medicinal drugs, this number rises to 3.0.<sup>1</sup> Transition-metal-catalyzed direct C−H amination is currently a "hot topic" in organic synthesis.<sup>2</sup> This approach is hig[hl](#page-5-0)y promising as it alleviates the need for prefunctionalization. Nevertheless, it still generates stoichi[om](#page-6-0)etric amounts of byproducts from external oxidants,<sup>3</sup> halide salts, or bases.<sup>4</sup> Using azides as the N atom source would address these limitations because no oxidant woul[d](#page-6-0) be required and the onl[y](#page-6-0) byproduct would be the environmentally benign  $N_2$ .<sup>5</sup> Recently, many groups such as Chang, Li, Jiao, Sahoo, and Ackermann et al., continuously disclosed Rh-, Ru-, and Ir-catalyze[d d](#page-6-0)irect C− H amination protocols using organic azides as the amino source (Scheme 1, eq 1). However, most efforts were made on the  $C(sp^2)$ -N formation.<sup>6</sup> There are only limited works focusing on  $C(sp^3)$ –N formation.<sup>7,8</sup>

The quinolin-8-yl[me](#page-6-0)thanamine derivatives have been reported as a building b[loc](#page-6-0)k in enormous areas involved in medicinal chemistry, organic synthesis, and analytical chemistry.<sup>9</sup> Meanwhile, the quinolin-8-ylmethanamine derivatives contain two nitrogen atoms, thus enabling this kind of mol[ec](#page-6-0)ular structure to be a potential ligand. 8-Methylquinoline is an ideal substrate that could be used to obtain quinolin-8 ylmethanamine derivatives through C−H functionalization. Before our work, Che and Muñiz, respectively, have realized Pd(II)-catalyzed amination of 8-methylquinoline (Scheme 1, eq  $2)$ .<sup>3d,4f</sup> Our group is continuously interested in Cp\*Rhcatalyzed C−H bond activation.<sup>10</sup> Herein, we report Cp\*Rhca[talyz](#page-6-0)ed intermolecular  $C(sp^3)$ -H amidation of 8-methylquinolines (Scheme 1, eq 3). It [sho](#page-6-0)uld be noted that when we prepared this work, similar work was also demonstrated by the research group of Chang using Cp\*Ir catalyst system.<sup>8g</sup> However, the Cp\*Rh catalyst did not work under their conditions.







At the outset of our study, we searched for optimal C−H amidation conditions of 8-methylquinoline (1a) with sulfonyl azides (Table 1). By treating 1a (0.3 mmol) with 4- (trifluoromethyl)benzenesulfonyl azide (2a) (0.6 mmol) in the presence o[f](#page-1-0) a cationic Rh(III) catalyst (5.0 mmol %) generated in situ from  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$  and AgSbF<sub>6</sub> in  $CICH_2CH_2Cl$  (1.5 mL) at 100 °C for 12 h, the desired product 3aa was obtained in 35% yield (entry 1).  $CH_2Cl_2$  was more efficient at improving the yield, while other solvents gave inferior yields (entries 2−4). Neither raising the temperature

Received: April 15, 2014 Published: May 7, 2014

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>



a<br>Conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), 2a (2.0 equiv), catalyst, additive, solvent  $(1.5 \text{ mL})$  at the indicated temperature for 12 h, under Ar.  $b$  Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>1a (2.0 equiv), 2a (0.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6.0 mL).

nor decreasing the temperature is helpful to the improvement of the yield (entries 5 and 6). Then, we attempted to double the catalyst loading, but the yield remained unchanged (entry 7). Silver hexafluoroantimonate proved to be the most effective additive. Alteration of the cationic or anionic part of the additive gave reduced efficiency (entries 10 and 11). We were pleased to observe that higher yield was obtained by changing the ratio of 1a:2a to 2:1 in a diluted system  $(CH_2Cl_2 6 mL)$ (entry 8). When the reaction was carried out in the absence of  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$  or AgSbF<sub>6</sub>, no product was observed (entry 9). Using a pregenerated cationic rhodium species afforded a slightly lower yield (entry 12). Other transition metals such as ruthenium, iridium, and palladium complexes were ineffective in the present direct amidation reaction (entries 13−15).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we investigated the reaction of various substituted 8-methylquinolines 1 with 2a (Table 2). The reaction proceeded smoothly to afford  $C(sp^3)$ amidated products in moderate to good yields. The structure of 3na was confirmed by its  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra, mass spectrometry data, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Various functional groups commonly encountered in organic synthesis were tolerated well, such as halide (products 3da−ga, 3ka−ma, and 3pa−ra). Higher yields were obtained with 8 methylquinolines bearing electron-withdrawing groups than those bearing electron-donating groups. This is probably due to that the electron-withdrawing groups may increase the acidity of the methyl C−H bond of 8-methylquinolines. Notably, the 5-OMe substrate (1i) gave no desired product. In addition, we found that the amidation reaction of 5-substituted substrates worked a little better than that of 6-substituted or 7-substituted substrates. The multisubstituted substrate 5,8-dimethyl-3 phenylquinoline also gave a moderate yield (61%). The effect of steric hindrance was investigated. When 8-methylquinoline was replaced by 8-ethylquinoline, no product was detected.

Table 2. Substrate Scope of 8-Methylquinolines $a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Conditions: 1 (0.6 mmol), 2a (0.3 mmol),  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> (5 mol %), AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (20 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, (6.0 mL), 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar; isolated yields are shown.

In addition to 2a, different sulfonyl azides were examined in the amidation process (Table 3). Arenesulfonyl azide substrates

### Table 3. Sulfonyl Azide Scope<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1c (0.3 mmol), 2 (0.45 mmol),  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$  (5 mol %), AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (20 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, (1.5 mL), 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar.  $\frac{b}{r}$  Reaction conditions: 1c (0.6 mmol), 2 (0.3 mmol).

with electron-withdrawing groups and electron-donating groups both provided good yields (62−73%). Compared with azides bearing electron-donating substituents, azides bearing electron-withdrawing substituents afforded the corresponding products in slightly higher yields. Besides, para-substituted arenesulfonyl azides displayed higher activity than ortho- and meta-substituted arenesulfonyl azides. Then, phenylmethanesulfonyl azide and the aliphatic sulfonyl azide were tested to give the corresponding products.

To demonstrate further the synthetic utility of 8-allylquinoline products, two derivatization reactions were done. The amidation product 3aa could be reduced selectively by  $N$ aBH<sub>4</sub>/  $NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$ , giving  $N-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-8-yl)$ methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzenesulfonamide in 80% yield (Scheme 2, eq 4).<sup>11</sup> In addition, when deprotection was

### Scheme 2. Derivati[zat](#page-6-0)ion Reactions



conducted under an EtONa/DMSO system, quinolin-8 ylmethanamine derivatives were obtained (Scheme 2, eq 5). Thus, this protocol provides a new and simple route to synthesize these kinds of compounds.

To gain more insight into the mechanism of the present direct C−H amidation, preliminary mechanistic experiments were carried out. A notable primary kinetic isotope effect (KIE,  $k_H/k_D$  = 3.0) was observed for two separate competition reactions with 1a and 1a- $d_3$  (Scheme 3, eq 6), suggesting that





the C−H bond cleavage is likely involved in the ratedetermining step.<sup>12</sup> The H/D exchange reactions were also carried out. When the reaction was performed in the absence of sulfonyl azides (S[ch](#page-6-0)eme 3, eq 7), no deuteration of the methyl C−H bonds was detected, indicating that the C−H bond activation was irreversible.

Fortunately, a rhodacycle intermediate A was isolated by the reaction of 8-methylquinoline with  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> and AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (Scheme 4, eq 8). Its structure was fully characterized by  ${}^{1}H$ and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and high-resolution mass spectrometry data, though we failed to grow a single crystal. Then intermediate A was used as the catalyst instead of  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>/AgSbF<sub>6</sub>$  in the standard conditions, giving the product in a 60% yield (Scheme 4, eq 9). These results supported the idea that intermediate A probably was an active species in this reaction.

Based on the above experimental results and known transition-metal-catalyzed  $C(sp^2)-H$  bond amidation reactions,<sup>6</sup> a possible mechanism is proposed for the present catalytic reaction (Figure 1). The first step is likely to be a Scheme 4. Synthesis of Intermediate A and the Amidation Reaction Using Intermediate A as the Catalyst







Figure 1. Proposed mechanistic pathway of the amidation reaction.

 $C(sp<sup>3</sup>)$ -H activation process affording a five-membered rhodacyclic intermediate A. Coordination of an azide to A, leading to B, is assumed to follow before a stepwise pathway which involves a Rh(V)−nitrenoid intermediate, which has been proved in the work of Chang.<sup>6q</sup> The sulfonamido moiety subsequently inserts into the rhodacycle to form intermediate D. Finally, protonolysis of D deliv[ers](#page-6-0) the desired product 3.

In summary, we have developed the Rh(III)-catalyzed direct amidation via C(sp<sup>3</sup>)−H activation. Quinolin-8-ylmethanamine derivatives were obtained by using sulfonyl azides as the amine source in a simple way. The reaction proceeds with a broad range of 8-methylquinolines in moderate to good yields and requires no external oxidants or bases. The amidated products could be applied widely to areas such as organic synthesis and pharmaceutical chemistry.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Information. All the reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk technique. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz), <sup>19</sup>F (376 M), and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) were recorded on a NMR spectrometer with CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO- $d_6$  as solvent. Chemical shifts of  ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{19}F$ , and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm). High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was done on a FTICR-mass spectrometer. X-ray structure analysis was performed on an X-ray diffractometer.  $[\text{Cp*RhCl}_2]_2$  was prepared from  $\text{RhCl}_3 \cdot x \text{H}_2\text{O}$  following a literature procedure.<sup>13</sup>

General Procedure for the Preparation of Substituted 8- Methylquinolines 1. Glycerin (11.1 g, 0.12 [m](#page-6-0)ol) was added dropwise over a period of 0.5 h to a solution of substituted aniline (0.1 mol) and NaI (0.2 g, 1.3 mmol) in 80% aqueous  $H_2SO_4$  (55 g, 0.45 mmol) at 140 °C. The mixture was then heated at 140−145 °C for 3.5 h while distilling the water formed during this period. Upon cooling to room temperature, the dark solution was carefully poured into ice  $(100 \text{ g})$  and then neutralized with 25% NaOH  $(109.3 \text{ g}, 0.69)$ mol) to basic pH 8−11. The mixture was extracted with benzene ( $3 \times$ 50 mL). The combined organic solution was washed with water (2  $\times$ 50 mL) and brine (50 mL), and then dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After benzene was removed, the residue was distilled under vacuum to give the corresponding substituted 8-methylquinoline.<sup>14</sup>

The substrates 1b and 1o, 1u, 8-ethylquinoline, and 8-methylquinoline- $d_3$  were separately prepared according to the literatures.<sup>15−18</sup>

The structures of 8-methylquinoline substrates were confirme[d](#page-6-0) by <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, which are consistent with those [repo](#page-6-0)rted previously.10d,14−<sup>18</sup>

Data for  $1$ o: pale yellow oil; 1.40 g, 59% yield;  $^1\rm H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ [9.03 \(dd,](#page-6-0) J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.2, 147.0, 137.9, 136.1, 129.4, 129.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 126.3, 125.9, 125.8, 123.2, 122.4, 122.4, 122.3, 122.3, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 13.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –59.69 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N [M + H] + 212.0682, found 212.0677.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2. To a solution of sodium azide (1.99 g, 30 mmol) in water (10 mL) was added dropwise over 1 h to a solution of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (3.85 g, 20 mmol) in acetone  $(20 \text{ mL})$  at  $0$  °C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 11 h, the acetone was removed under reduced pressure, and the reaction mixture was extracted by EtOAc for three times. The combined organic layers were washed with water and saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  solution and dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product can be used without any further purification.

The structures of azides substrates were confirmed by  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopy, which are consistent with those reported previously.<sup>19</sup>

General Procedure for Rh(III)-Catalyzed Amidation with Azides. A mixture of the substituted 8-methylquinoline (1) ([0.6](#page-6-0) mmol, 2.0 equiv), the sulfonyl azide (2) (if solid) (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv),  $[Cp*RhCl_2]$ <sub>2</sub> (9.3 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5.0 mol %), and AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (20.6 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20.0 mol %) were weighted in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar. Dry DCM (6.0 mL) was added (followed immediately by the sulfonyl azide if it is a liquid), and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h under Ar atmosphere. Afterward, it was transferred to a round-bottom flask. Silica was added to the flask, and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The purification was performed by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/ petroleum ether  $= 1:10$ ).

N-(Quinolin-8-ylmethyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzenesulfonamide (3aa): white solid (68 mg, 62%); mp 110−112 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.82 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.62  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 7.56  $(d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ , 7.46–7.40  $(m, 2H)$ , 7.33  $(t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 7.28 (s, 1H), 6.70 (br s, 1H), 4.75 (d,  $J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}$ , 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  149.4, 145.9, 143.8, 136.7, 133.6, 133.3, 133.0, 132.8, 132.7, 129.6, 128.3, 127.0, 126.8, 126.2 (q), 125.9, 124.9 (q, CF<sub>3</sub>), 124.4, 121.7, 121.3, 46.6. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 367.0723, found 367.0721.

N-((5-(Trifluoromethyl)quinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ba): white solid (100 mg, 77%); mp 112−114  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.57−7.52 (m, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.1, 146.0, 143.8, 138.2, 133.9, 133.6, 133.3, 128.0, 127.0, 126.9, 126.5, 125.3 (q), 124.9, 124.5 (q), 124.3, 124.3, 122.5, 122.2, 121.6, 46.2; 19F

NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –59.4 (s), –63.41 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{13}F_6N_2O_2S$   $[M + H]^+$  435.0596, found 435.0595.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ca): white solid (93 mg, 75%); mp 101−103  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.00 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.78 (dd,  $J = 8.8$ , 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (t,  $J = 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.38 (d,  $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.91−7.87 (m, 3H), 7.81−7.78 (m, 3H), 4.78 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (DMSO, 101 MHz) δ 150.9, 144.4, 144.4, 144.3, 142.6, 132.1, 131.7, 131.7, 127.2, 126.7, 126.0 (q), 124.7, 124.2, 124.1, 122.0, 119.7, 42.4; 19F NMR (DMSO, 376 MHz) −63.3 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_3N_3O_4S$  [M + H] + 412.0573, found 412.0570.

N-((5-Fluoroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3da): white solid (77 mg, 67%); mp 115−117  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.77 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J  $= 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 160.6, 158.1, 148.7, 148.7, 143.8, 143.0, 136.2, 136.1, 136.0, 133.9, 133.6, 133.3, 133.0, 129.2, 129.1, 127.0, 125.1 (q), 124.4, 122.1, 121.6, 120.1, 119.8, 110.8, 110.6, 46.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz) δ −63.4 (s), −112.9 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_4N_2O_2S$  [M + H]  $^+$  385.0628, found 385.0627.

N-((5-Chloroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ea): white solid (87 mg, 72%); mp 143−145  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.85 (d, J = 4.2, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41  $(q, J = 11.0, 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.33 \text{ (d, } J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.32 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 6.62$ (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 150.0, 146.4, 143.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.2, 132.9, 132.6, 131.8, 129.5, 126.9, 126.8, 126.3, 125.8, 125.1(q), 124.8, 124.7, 124.7, 124.4, 122.1, 121.7, 120.9, 46.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.1 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}CIF_3N_2O_2S$  [M + H] + 401.0333, found 401.0330.

N-((5-Bromoquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3fa): white solid (89 mg, 67%); mp 152−154  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.83 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32  $(s, 1H)$ , 6.63  $(t, J = 6.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.70  $(d, J = 6.6$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.1, 146.5, 143.9, 136.3, 133.6, 133.4, 133.3, 130.0, 129.6, 127.6, 126.9, 125.1(q), 124.4, 122.4, 122.2, 121.7, 46.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.1 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}BrF_3N_2O_2S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 444.9828, found 444.9820.

N-((5-Iodoquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ga): white solid (99 mg, 67%); mp 147−149  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.77 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H).<br><sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.2, 146.3, 143.8, 141.2, 137.0, 134.3, 133.6, 133.3, 130.7, 130.1, 126.9, 125.1(q), 124.4, 122.9, 121.7, 98.6, 46.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –62.9 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_3IN_2O_2S$   $[M + H]^+$  492.9689, found 492.9685.

N-((5-Methylquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ha): white solid (48 mg, 42%); mp 118−120  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.83 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 8.41 (t, J  $= 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.57–7.52 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.31 \text{ (d, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.66$ (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO, 101 MHz)  $\delta$ 149.1, 145.2, 144.6, 134.1, 132.7, 132.4, 132.1, 131.7, 131.4, 131.1, 128.0, 127.1, 126.7, 126.1, 125.7 (q), 124.7, 122.0, 121.0, 42.4, 17.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (DMSO, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –61.6 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{16}F_3N_2O_2S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 381.0879, found 381.0880.

N-((6-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ja): white solid (90 mg, 75%); mp 172−174  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.03 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.63−7.60 (m, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (br s, 1H), 4.81 (d,  $J = 5.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  153.3, 146.8, 144.5, 144.3, 138.7, 137.6, 132.1, 131.8, 127.2, 126.7, 126.1, 126.0, 124.6,

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

124.1, 123.3, 121.9, 120.6, 41.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz) δ  $-63.35$  (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 412.0573, found 412.0575.

N-((6-Fluoroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ka): white solid (71 mg, 62%); mp 114−117  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.78 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.27−7.24 (m, 1H), 7.22  $(dd, J = 8.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 6.6 Hz,$ 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 101 MHz) δ 160.6, 158.1, 148.7, 143.9, 143.1, 136.2, 136.2, 136.1, 134.0, 133.7, 133.3, 133.0, 129.2, 129.1, 127.0, 125.2 (q), 124.4, 122.1, 121.7, 120.1, 119.8, 119.0, 110.8, 110.6, 46.0; 19F NMR (CDCl3, 376 MHz) δ −63.40 (s), −112.9 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_4N_2O_2S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 385.0628, found 385.0634.

N-((6-Chloroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3la): white solid (71 mg, 59%); mp 135−137  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.81 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, J  $= 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 3H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  149.6, 144.2, 143.8, 135.8, 134.9, 133.9, 133.6, 133.3, 132.9, 131.7, 130.4, 128.9, 127.0, 126.5, 125.1(q), 124.3, 122.2, 121.6, 45.8. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376) MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]+ 401.0333, found 401.0329.

N-((6-Bromoquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ma): white solid (75 mg, 56%); mp 160–164  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.83 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J  $= 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.45 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 6.69 (br s, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  149.7, 144.4, 143.8, 135.7, 134.9, 133.6, 133.3, 133.0, 130.0, 129.4, 126.9, 125.1(q), 124.3, 122.2, 121.6, 119.8, 45.8; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]+ 444.9828, found 444.9824.

N-((6-Methylquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3na): white solid (70 mg, 61%); mp 130−132  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.74 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37−7.34 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (br s, 1H), 7.45 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 6.88 (br s, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 101 MHz) δ 148.5, 144.6, 144.0, 136.0, 135.9, 133.7, 133.4, 133.0, 132.7, 132.4, 131.9, 128.4, 127.0, 126.8, 124.9(q), 124.4, 121.7, 121.3, 119.0, 46.5, 21.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{16}F_3N_2O_2S$   $[M + H]^+$  381.0879, found 381.0880.

N-((7-(Trifluoromethyl)quinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3oa): white solid (84 mg, 64%); mp 140−142  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.94 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.69−7.66 (m, 3H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (br s, 1H), 4.97 (br s, 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 101 MHz) δ 150.6, 146.1, 143.7, 137.0, 129.6, 128.7, 127.1, 125.2(q), 125.0, 124.4, 123.0, 122.5, 122.5, 122.4, 122.4, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 41.5, 41.5; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –57.2 (s), –63.3 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> 435.0596, found 435.0591.

N-((7-Fluoroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3pa): white solid (69 mg, 60%); mp 146−149  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.86 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.59−7.57 (m, 1H), 7.40 (dd,  $J = 8.3, 4.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.15 (t,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.92 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 160.8, 158.3, 150.4, 147.0, 146.9, 143.7, 136.7, 133.9, 133.5, 133.2, 132.9, 129.7, 129.6, 125.2, 125.0(q), 124.4, 121.7, 120.6, 120.6, 119.0, 117.4, 117.3, 116.8, 116.5, 37.8, 37.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  -63.4 (s), -111.6 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_4N_2O_2S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 385.0628, found 385.0626.

N-((7-Chloroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3qa): white solid (78 mg, 65%); mp 166-171  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.85 (dd, J = 4.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.1, 146.4, 143.7, 136.9, 134.8, 133.8, 133.5, 133.1, 132.8, 130.3, 128.7, 128.0, 126.8, 126.8, 125.0(q), 124.4, 121.6, 121.4, 42.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz) δ −63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}CIF_3N_2O_2S [M + H]^+$  401.0333, found 401.0336.

N-((7-Bromoquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ra): white solid (87 mg, 65%); mp 150−153  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.82 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.1, 146.4, 143.7, 136.9, 133.8, 133.5, 133.1, 132.8, 132.4, 130.9, 128.8, 127.3, 127.0, 126.8, 126.2(q), 125.5, 124.9(q, CF<sub>3</sub>), 124.4, 121.6, 121.6, 45.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376) MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H] <sup>+</sup> 444.9828, found 444.9822.

N-((7-Methylquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3sa): white solid (78 mg, 68%); mp 126−130  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.77 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J  $= 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34  $(dd, J = 7.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,$ 1H), 6.92 (br s, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl_3, 101 MHz)$  δ 149.2, 146.2, 143.9, 137.8, 136.5, 133.5, 133.2, 132.9, 132.5, 129.9, 129.6, 127.4, 127.1, 126.6, 126.4, 124.8(q), 124.4, 121.7, 120.4, 41.6, 19.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  –63.4 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{16}F_3N_2O_2S$  [M + H] + 381.0879, found 381.0881.

N-((5-Methyl-3-phenylquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3ta): white solid (84 mg, 61%); mp 123−126  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.05 (s, 1H), 8.297 (s, 1H), 7.69  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.57 \text{ } (t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.53–7.47 \text{ } (3H, m), 7.32 \text{ }$  $(d, J = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 7.22 (d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}), 7.16 (d, J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}),$ 6.86 (br s, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub> 101 MHz)$  δ 148.2, 145.0, 143.9, 137.6, 135.5, 133.8, 133.0, 132.7, 130.8, 130.4, 129.4, 129.3, 128.4, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 126.6, 124.7(q), 124.5, 121.8, 46.7, 18.2; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl3, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  $-63.0$  (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 457.1192, found 457.1193.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (3cb): white solid (85 mg, 73%); mp 130-134 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.00 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 8.75 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J  $= 8.8, 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO, 101) MHz) δ 151.0, 149.3, 146.0, 144.4, 144.4, 142.5, 131.7, 127.9, 126.7, 124.3, 124.2, 119.7, 42.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_4O_6S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 389.0550, found 389.0540.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-chlorobenzenesulfonamide (3cc): white solid (79 mg, 70%); mp 134–136 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 9.00−8.96 (m, 2H), 8.23 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.71−7.66  $(m, 2H)$ , 7.55 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.39 (br s, 1H), 4.76 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 150.6, 145.8, 145.3, 141.3, 138.8, 138.6, 132.7, 128.7, 128.1, 127.6, 124.0, 121.1, 45.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 378.0310, found 378.0308.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (3cd): white solid (75 mg, 70%); mp 118–120 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.94 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 8.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.14  $(d, J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.65 - 7.61 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.44 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 6.97$  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 6.35 \text{ (br s, 1H)}, 4.74 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 2.27 \text{ (s, 3H)}; \text{ }^{13}C$ NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 150.5, 145.7, 145.1, 143.2, 141.6, 137.1, 132.6, 129.0, 127.6, 126.6, 124.0, 123.9, 121.0, 45.7, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}N_3O_4S$  [M + H] <sup>+</sup> 358.0856, found 358.0851.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (3ce): white solid (76 mg, 68%); mp 108-110 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.84 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 8.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35

<span id="page-5-0"></span> $(d, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 4.66  $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ , 2.58  $(s, 3\text{H})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO, 101 MHz) δ 149.2, 149.0, 146.2, 145.2, 134.3, 132.8, 132.4, 128.1, 127.8, 126.7, 126.2, 123.9, 121.1, 42.4, 18.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}N_3O_5S$   $[M + H]^+$  374.0805, found 374.0801.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3cf): white solid (89 mg, 72%); mp 116−120  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.89 (d, J  $= 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65−7.63 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.43 (m, 2H), 6.61 (br s, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 4.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)$  δ 150.7, 145.7, 145.3, 141.2, 138.5, 132.5, 132.3, 131.7, 131.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1, 126.8, 126.7, 124.0, 123.8, 121.3, 120.9, 46.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz) δ −58.1 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_3N_3O_4S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 412.0573, found 412.0569.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonamide (3cg): white solid (77 mg, 62%); mp 117−119  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.96 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.92 (d, J  $= 8.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J = 9.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56  $(d, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.32 \text{ (t, } J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.57 \text{ (br s, } 1H), 4.81 \text{ (d, } J)$  $= 5.2$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.7, 145.6, 145.3, 141.4, 140.8, 132.7, 131.6, 131.2, 130.9, 130.6, 129.9, 129.7, 129.2, 128.7(q), 127.7, 126.9, 124.2, 124.1, 123.9, 123.6(q, CF<sub>3</sub>), 121.5, 121.0, 45.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  -62.8 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{13}F_3N_3O_4S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 412.0573, found 412.0572.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-1-phenylmethanesulfonamide (3ch): white solid (65 mg, 61%); mp 60–63 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.07 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.95 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 8.34  $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.79 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.71 \text{ (d, } J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.38-7.28$ (m, 5H), 5.94 (br s, 1H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.28 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz)  $\delta$  150.7, 146.1, 145.2, 142.6, 132.6, 130.7, 130.5, 129.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.6, 127.1, 124.5, 124.0, 121.2, 59.4, 45.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}N_3O_4S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 358.0856, found 358.0857.

N-((5-Nitroquinolin-8-yl)methyl)-butane-1-sulfonamide (3ci): white solid (36 mg, 37%); mp 104–106 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.06 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 9.02 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J = 8.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (br s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 2.95 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.71−1.63 (m, 2H), 1.36−1.27 (m, 2H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 150.8, 146.1, 145.2, 142.8, 132.8, 127.2, 124.5, 124.1, 121.2, 53.0, 45.1, 25.5, 21.4, 13.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{18}N_3O_4S$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 324.1013, found 324.1019.

Selective Reduction (eq 4). $^{20}$  Compound 3aa (0.30 mmol, 110.0 mg) and  $\text{NiCl}_6\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  (10 mol %, 7.20 mg) were dissolved in MeOH (4 mL), NaBH<sub>4</sub> (3.0 mmol, 113[.5](#page-6-0) mg) was added in portions with stirring under cooling for 1 h, then the stirring was continued for another 1 h. After removal of the solvents, the residue was absorbed to small amounts of silica. After purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1:5), 4a was obtained as white solid (89 mg, 80%): mp 128−130 °C;  $^1\rm H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.96 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (br s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 3.32 (t,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 1.92−1.86 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz) δ 143.0, 134.6, 134.2, 133.9, 130.2, 128.2, 127.7, 126.2(q), 124.5, 122.4, 121.8, 117.8, 116.2, 45.5, 42.0, 27.2, 21.5; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376) MHz)  $\delta$  –63.1 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 371.1036, found 371.1032.

Deprotection of 3aa (eq 5). A mixture of 3aa (0.3 mmol) and EtONa (0.9 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) was stirred at 100 °C under Ar atmosphere for 20 h. After being cooled to ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with  $H_2O$ , The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic phase was dried over Na2SO4. After filtration and evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/petroleum ether  $= 1:20$ ) to give 5a as a red solid  $(40 \text{ mg}, 83\%)$ : <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.93 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65

 $(d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.49 \text{ (t, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.42 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.2, 4.2 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 2.18 (br s, 2H).<sup>4f</sup>

H/D Exchange Reaction (eq 6). A mixture of  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> (9.3) mg, 0.015 [m](#page-6-0)mol) and  $AgSbF_6$  (20.6 mg, 0.06 mmol) was weighed in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar.  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH-d_6$  (5/1, 6.0 mL) was added followed immediately by the 8-methylquinoline (86.0 mg, 0.6 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h under Ar atmosphere. The mixed products were purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1:20).

KIE Experiments (eq 7). A mixture of 4-(trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonyl azide (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv),  $[Cp*RhCl_2]$ <sub>2</sub> (9.3 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5.0 mol %), and  $AgSbF_6$  (20.6 mg, 20.0 mol %) were weighed in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar. Dry DCM (6.0 mL) was added followed immediately by 8-methylquinoline (0.6 mmol, 2 equiv) or 8-methylquinoline- $d_3$  (0.6 mmol, 2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 45 min under Ar atmosphere. Afterward, the two independent reactions were poured into the same round flask, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was absorbed to small amounts of silica. The purification was performed by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/ petroleum ether  $= 1:10$ ).

Preparation of Intermediate A (eq 8). A mixture of substituted 8-methylquinoline (286.7 mg, 2.0 mmol),  $[Cp*RhCl<sub>2</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> (18.6 mg, 0.2 mmol), and AgSbF<sub>6</sub> (274.8 mg, 0.8 mmol) were weighed in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar. Dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C overnight and then concentrated under vacuum. After purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1:1) intermediate A was obtained as an orange-red solid (50 mg, 20%): mp 213−215 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.92 (d, J = 4.4 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, J = 6.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46− 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (d,  $J = 13.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.61 (s, 15H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101) MHz) δ 153.5, 151.7, 151.4, 136.6, 123.0, 128.7, 128.0, 123.0, 122.0, 93.9, 93.8, 33.8, 33.5, 9.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{23}NRh$  [M –  $Sbf_6^-$ <sup>+</sup> 380.0880, found 380.0881.

Amidation Reaction Catalyzed by Intermediate A (eq 9). A mixture of 1a (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), 2a (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and intermediate A (10.0 mol %) were weighed in a Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar. Dry DCM (6.0 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h under Ar. Afterward, it was transferred to a round-bottom flask. Silica was added to the flask, and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. After purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel 3aa was obtained in 60% yield.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Full spectroscopic data for all new compounds and CIF files giving X-ray structural information for 3na. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: bqwang@nankai.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no competin](mailto:bqwang@nankai.edu.cn)g financial interest.

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21372122, 21174068, and 21121002) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20110031110009) for financial support.

## ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Tü rmen, Y. E.; Aggarwal, V. K. Science 2014, 343, 33. (b) Grabowski, K.; Schneider, G. Curr. Chem. Biol. 2007, 1, 115.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(2) For selected reviews, see: (a) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Martinelli, M.; Sottocomola, S. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5318. (b) Collet, F.; Dodd, R. H.; Dauban, P. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5061. (c) Armstrong, A.; Collins, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2282. (d) Wencel-Delord, J.; Dröge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Soc.* Rev. 2011, 40, 4740. (e) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5068. (f) Stokes, B. J.; Driver, T. G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 4071. (g) Louillat, M.-L.; Patureau, F. W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 901.

(3) For selected papers, see: (a) Fiori, K. W.; Fleming, J. J.; Bois, J. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4349. (b) Liang, C.; Robert-Peillard, F.; Fruit, C.; Müller, P.; Dodd, R. H.; Dauban, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4641. (c) Chen, X.; Hao, X.-S.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6790. (d) Thu, H.-Y.; Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.- M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9048. (e) Olson, D. E.; Bois, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11248. (f) Liang, C.; Collet, F.; Robert-Peillard, F.; Müller, P.; Dodd, R. H.; Dauban, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 343. (g) Monguchi, D.; Fujiwara, T.; Furukawa, H.; Mori, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1607. (h) Wang, Q.; Schreiber, S. L. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5178. (i) Haffemayer, B.; Gulias, M.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 312. (j) Xiao, B.; Gong, T.-J.; Xu, J.; Liu, Z.-J.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1466. (k) John, A.; Nicholas, K. M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4158. (l) Du Bois, J. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2011, 15, 758. (m) Oda, Y.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 664. (n) Roizen, J. L.; Zalatam, D. N.; Bois, J. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11343. (o) Zhao, H.; Shang, Y.; Su, W. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5106. (p) Martínez, Á. M.; Rodríguez, N.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2801. (q) Shang, M.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.- X.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3354.

(4) For selected papers, see: (a) Kawano, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6900. (b) Ng, K.-H.; Chan, A. S. C.; Yu, W.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12862. (c) Sun, K.; Li, Y.; Xiong, T.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1694. (d) Yoo, E. J.; Ma, S.; Mei, T.-S.; Chan, K. S. L.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7652. (e) Matsuda, N.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 2395. (f) Iglesias, Á.; Álvarez, R.; de Lera, Á. R.; Muñiz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2225. (g) Ng, K.-H.; Zhou, Z.; Yu, W.-Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 272. (h) Grohmann, C.; Wang, H.; Glorius, F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 656. (i) Ng, K.-H.; Zhou, Z.; Yu, W.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7031. (j) John, A.; Byun, J.; Nicholas, K. M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10965. (k) Zheng, Y.; Xiong, T.; Lv, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Q. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 7923. (l) Zhou, B.; Du, J.; Yang, Y.; Li, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2934. (m) Grohmann, C.; Wang, H.; Glorius, F. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3014. (n) Yu, S.; Wan, B.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3706. (o) Shang, M.; Zeng, S.-H.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5286. (p) Wu, K.; Fan, Z.; Yao, Q.; Zhang, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 42. (q) Zhou, B.; Du, J.; Yang, Y.; Feng, H.; Li, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 592.

(5) For selected reviews, see: (a) Bräse, S.; Gil, C.; Knepper, K.; Zimmermann, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5188. (b) Katsuki, T. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 1304. (c) Cenini, S.; Gallo, E.; Caselli, A.; Ragaini, F.; Fantauzzi, S.; Piangiolino, C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 1234. (d) Driver, T. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3831.

(6) For selected papers, see: (a) Shi, J.; Zhou, B.; Yang, Y.; Li, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 10, 8953. (b) Ryu, J.; Shin, K.; Park, H.; Kim, J. Y.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9904. (c) Kim, J. Y.; Park, S. H.; Ryu, J.; Cho, S. H.; Kim, S. H.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9110. (d) Shin, K.; Baek, Y.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8013. (e) Bhanuchandra, M.; Yadav, M. R.; Rit, R. K.; Kuram, M. R.; Sahoo, A. K. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5225. (f) Zheng, Q.-Z.; Liang, Y.-F.; Qin, C.; Jiao, N. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5654. (g) Kim, J.; Kim, J.; Chang, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 7328. (h) Zhou, B.; Yang, Y.; Shi, J.; Feng, H.; Li, Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 10511. (i) Tang, C.; Yuan, Y.; Cui, Y.; Jiao, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 7480. (j) Yu, D.; Suri, M.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8802. (k) Ryu, J.; Kwak, J.; Shin, K.; Lee, D.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12861. (l) Lee, D.; Kim, Y.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11102. (m) Yadav, M. R.; Rit, R. K.; Sahoo, A. K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1638. (n) Thirunavukkarasu, V. S.; Raghuvanshi, K.; Ackermann, L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3286. (o) Kim, J.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2203. (p) Pan, C.; Abdukader, A.; Han, J.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 3606. (q) Kim, H. J.; Ajitha, M. J.; Lee, Y.; Ryu, J.; Kim, J.; Lee, Y.; Jung, Y.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1132. (r) Park, S. H.; Kwak, J.; Shin, K.; Ryu, J.; Park, Y.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2492. (s) Shin, K.; Ryu, J.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2022.

(7) For transition-metal-catalyzed intramolecular  $C(sp^3)$ -N formation, see: (a) Ruppel, J. V.; Kamble, R. M.; Zhang, X. P. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4889. (b) Sun, K.; Sachwani, R.; Richert, K. J.; Diver, T. G. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3598. (c) Lu, H.; Jiang, H.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10192. (d) Lu, H.; Tao, J.; Jones, J. E.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1248. (e) Lu, H.; Jiang, H.; Hu, Y.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 2361. (f) Pumphrey, A. L.; Dong, H.; Driver, T. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5920. (g) Cochet, T.; Bellosta, V.; Roche, D.; Ortholand, J.- Y.; Greiner, A.; Cossy, J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10745. (h) Nguyen, Q.; Sun, K.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7262. (i) Lu, H.; Hu, Y.; Jiang, H.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5158. (j) Nuguyen, Q.; Nguyen, T.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 620. (k) Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. Science 2013, 340, 591.

(8) For transition-metal-catalyzed intermolecular  $C(sp^3)$ -N formation, see: (a) Cenini, S.; Gallo, E.; Penoni, A.; Ranaini, F.; Tollari, S. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2265. (b) Ragaini, F.; Penoni, A.; Gallo, E.; Tollari, S.; Gotti, C. L.; Lapadula, M.; Mangioni, E.; Cenini, S. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 249. (c) Badiei, Y. M.; Dinescu, A.; Dai, X.; Palomino, R. M.; Heinemann, F. W.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9961. (d) Lu, H.; Subbarayan, V.; Tao, J.; Zhang, X. P. Organometallics 2010, 29, 389. (e) King, E. R.; Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4917. (f) Nishioka, Y.; Uchida, T.; Katsuki, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1739. (g) Kang, T.; Kim, Y.; Lee, D.; Wang, Z.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4141.

(9) (a) Ma, J.-A.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Q.-L. Tetrahedron: Asymmertry. 2001, 12, 2801. (b) Chiron, J.; Galy, J.-P. Heterocycles 2003, 60, 1653. (c) Carole, D. G.; Michel, D. M.; Julien, C.; Florence, D.; Anna, N.; Séverine, J.; Gérard, D.; Pierre, T.-D.; Jean-Pierre, G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 13, 5560. (d) Warshakoon, N. C.; Sheville, J.; Bhatt, R. T.; Ji, W.; Mendez-Andino, J. L.; Meyers, K. M.; Kim, N.; Wos, J. A.; Mitchell, C.; Paris, J. L.; Pinney, B. B.; Reizes, O.; Hu, X. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 5207. (e) Laronze-Cochard, M.; Kim, Y.-M.; Brassart, B.; Riou, J.-F.; Laronze, J.-Y.; Sapi, J. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 3880. (f) Martí-Centelles, V.; Burguete, M. I.; Galindo, F.; Izquierdo, M. A.; Kumar, D. K.; White, A. J. P.; Luis, S. V.; Vilar, R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 490.

(10) (a) Tan, X.; Liu, B.; Li, X.; Li, B.; Xu, S.; Song, H.; Wang, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16163. (b) Wang, N.; Lin, B.; Song, H.; Xu, S.; Wang, B. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 358. (c) Li, B.; Ma, J.; Xie, W.; Song, H.; Xu, S.; Wang, B. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 11863. (d) Liu, B.; Zhou, T.; Li, B.; Xu, S.; Song, H.; Wang, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4191.

- (11) Nose, A.; Kudo, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 1529.
- (12) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3066.

(13) White, C.; Yates, A.; Maitlis, P. M. Inorg. Synth. 1992, 29, 228. (14) Zhang, J.; Khaskin, E.; Anderson, N. P.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Vedernikov, A. N. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3625.

(15) Evans, P.; Hogg, P.; Grigg, R.; Nurnabi, M.; Hinsley, J.; Sridharan, V.; Suganthan, S.; Korn, S.; Collard, S.; Muir, J. E. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 9696.

(16) Lu, B.; Li, C.-Q.; Zhang, L.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14070.

(17) Zhang, Y. C.; Wang, M.; Li, P. H.; Wang, L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2206.

(18) Suggs, J. W.; Pearson, G. D. N. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1514.

(19) Waser, J.; Gaspar, B.; Nambu, H.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11693.

(20) Nose, A.; Kudo, T. Chem. Phar. Bull. 1988, 36, 1529.